

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/690,457 | Applicant(s) KU ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Lilian Vo | Art Unit 2195 | |

All Participants:

(1) Lilian Vo.

(2) Wayne Bailey.
Status of Application: Amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 November 2005
Time: 1:35pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:


1, 6, 9, 10, 15, 17, 23 - 32.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's attorney authorized an examiner's amendment to amend claims 1, 10, 17 and cancel claims 6, 9, 15, 22 and 24 - 32.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 THOMAS LEE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)